



Continuous auscultation versus intermittent auscultation in determining the presence of wheezes in patients presenting with asthma or COPD exacerbation

Yu Kan Au, MD; Tanziyah Muqeem, PhD; Valentin Fauveau, MSc; Peter Glasser BS; Beshoy Geris, MD; Alejandro Cardenas, MD; Mitchell Glass, MD; Getaw Hassen, MD

RATIONALE

- Lung auscultation is used in the diagnosis and management of respiratory diseases in both outpatient and acute care settings.
- As clinician assessment is labor intensive, the current standard of care consists of intermittent auscultation.
- While telemedicine tools have been developed to enable intermittent assessments in patients' homes, there is no existing practical method for continuous auscultation.
- We developed the Strados Remote Electronic Stethoscope Platform (RESP) for continuous monitoring and analysis of respiratory sounds.
- We hypothesize that continuous auscultation as a modality of monitoring is more sensitive than intermittent auscultation in determining the presence of wheezes in patients presenting with asthma or COPD exacerbation.

METHODS

- Adult patients with a diagnosis of COPD and/or asthma presenting to New York Metropolitan Hospital emergency department (ED) with respiratory distress were included.
- Lung sound recordings up to 40 seconds were made using an electronic stethoscope (3M Littmann 3200) while the patient inhaled deeply.
- Continuous auscultation using RESP (Figure 1) continued until the patient was discharged home or admitted to the hospital.
- Patients were instructed to breathe normally during continuous auscultation.



Number of pat

Number of pat

Total duration (minute)

Average durati recording per (minute)

Number of wh

of patients w

Disclosure statement: This work is supported by Strados Labs, Inc Yu Kan Au, MD; Tanziyah Muqeem, PhD; Valentin Fauveau, MSc; Peter Glasser BS; Mitchell Glass, MD each hold intellectual property rights, equity interests, and./or compensated by Strados Labs, Inc.

Figure 1: Remote Electronic Stethoscope Platform (RESP)

| | Intermittent auscultation | Continuous auscultation |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| cients enrolled | 43 | 43 |
| tients analyzed | 40 | 40 |
| of recording | 28 | 2492 |
| ion of patient | 0.7 | 62.3 |
| eezes captured | 550 | 2896 |
| ith wheezes | 31/40 (77.5%) | 34/40 (85%) |

- Two board-certified physicians listened to the Littmann and RESP recordings to determine the presence or absence of wheezes.
- Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the results.

RESULTS

- 43 patients were included in the study, of which 40 patients were included in the analysis due to incomplete data in 3 patients.
- The average length of continuous auscultation recording was 62.3 minutes.
- 77.5% (31/40) of intermittent auscultation recordings contained wheezes.
- In contrast to 85% (34/40) of continuous auscultation recordings.

CONCLUSION

- Although limited by a small sample size, our study suggests that continuous auscultation may be superior to intermittent auscultation in determining asthma or COPD exacerbation.
- Additionally, our study demonstrates the feasibility of this monitoring modality in a noisy and hectic emergency room.
- Although not detailed in this abstract, the accuracy of our machine learning algorithm in wheeze detection stands at 94% and the methodology will be reported separately in a data science forum.
- Remote continuous auscultation may be a useful and further studies are warranted.

Table 1: Intermittent vs continuous auscultation in wheeze detection



the presence of wheezes in patients presenting with application in a range of cardiopulmonary disorders,